UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----X

ALBERTHA STEED, JUVAL STEED and DANTUINE TURNER,

ANSWER

Plaintiffs,

07 CV 9839 (RMB)

-against-

Jury Trial Demanded

CITY OF NEW YORK, TONY LEON, JOHN FISHETTI, JAMES SMITH. MICHAEL RUZZI. JONATHAN HERNANDEZ, and JOHN and JANE DOES 1-10, individually and in their official capacities (the names John and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the true names are presently unknown),

Defendants.

-----X

Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK (the "City"), by its attorney, Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, for its answer to the complaint, respectfully alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:¹

- 1. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of the complaint, except admits that plaintiffs bring this action as stated therein.
- 2. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 2 of the complaint, except admits that plaintiffs purport to bring this action as stated therein.
- 3. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the complaint, except admits that plaintiffs purport to base jurisdiction as stated therein.
- 4. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 4 of the complaint, except admits that plaintiffs purport to lay venue as stated therein.

¹ Upon information and belief, the individual defendants have not been served with process as of this date.

- 5. The allegations set forth in paragraph 5 of the complaint comprise a demand for a jury trial and therefore no response is required.
- 6. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 of the complaint.
- 7. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 7 of the complaint.
- 8. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 8 of the complaint.
 - 9. Admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 9 of the complaint.
- 10. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 10 of the complaint, except admits that the City maintains the New York City Police Department ("NYPD") pursuant to applicable statutes, ordinances and regulations
- 11. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 11 of the complaint, except admits that officers named TONY LEON, JOHN FISHETTI, JAMES SMITH, MICHAEL RUZZI, JONATHAN HERNANDEZ are employed by the City as a police officers for the NYPD, and denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the John Doe Officers.
- 12. The allegations set forth in paragraph 12 of the complaint constitute conclusions of law rather than averments of fact and as such no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, defendant denies the allegations.
- 13. The allegations set forth in paragraph 13 of the complaint constitute conclusions of law rather than averments of fact and as such no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, defendant denies the allegations.

- 14. The allegations set forth in paragraph 14 of the complaint constitute conclusions of law rather than averments of fact and as such no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, defendant denies the allegations.
 - 15. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 15 of the complaint.
 - 16. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 16 of the complaint.
 - 17. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 17 of the complaint.
 - 18. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 18 of the complaint.
 - 19. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 19 of the complaint.
 - 20. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 20 of the complaint.
 - 21. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 21 of the complaint.
- 22. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 22 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 21 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 23. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 23 of the complaint.
 - 24. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 24 of the complaint.
 - 25. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 25 of the complaint.
 - 26. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 26 of the complaint.
 - 27. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 27 of the complaint.
- 28. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 28 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 27 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 29. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 29 of the complaint.
 - 30. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 30 of the complaint.

- 31. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 31 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 30 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 32. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 32 of the complaint.
 - 33. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 33 of the complaint.
 - 34. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 34 of the complaint.
- 35. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 35 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 34 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 36. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 36 of the complaint.
 - 37. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 37 of the complaint.
- 38. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 22 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 21 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 39. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 39 of the complaint.
 - 40. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 40 of the complaint.
- 41. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 41 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 40 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 42. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 42 of the complaint.
- 43. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 43 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 42 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.

- 44. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 44 of the complaint.
- 45. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 45 of the complaint.
- 46. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 46 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 45 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 47. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 47 of the complaint.
 - 48. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 48 of the complaint.
 - 49. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 49 of the complaint.
 - 50. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 50 of the complaint.
 - 51. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 51 of the complaint.
 - 52. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 52 of the complaint.
 - 53. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 53 of the complaint.
 - 54. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 54 of the complaint.
 - 55. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 55 of the complaint.
- 56. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 56 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 55 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 57 of the complaint, except admits that a document purporting to be a Notice of Claim was received by the Comptroller of the City of New York.
- 58. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 58 of the complaint, except admits that no monies have been paid to plaintiffs

- 59. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 59 of the complaint, except admit that this complaint was filed on the date reflected in the clerk's records.
 - 60. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 60 of the complaint.
- 61. The allegations set forth in paragraph 61 of the complaint constitute conclusions of law rather than averments of fact and as such no response is required.
- 62. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 62 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 61 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 63 of the complaint, except admit that plaintiffs were arrested and deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning arraignment and criminal prosecution.
 - 64. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 64 of the complaint.
- 65. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 65 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 64 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 66. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 66 of the complaint.
 - 67. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 67 of the complaint.
- 68. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 68 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 67 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 69. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph 69 of the complaint.

- 70. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 70 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 69 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 71. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 71 of the complaint.
- 72. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 72 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 71 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 73. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 73 of the complaint.
 - Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 74 of the complaint. 74.
- 75. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 75 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 74 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 76. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 76 of the complaint.
 - 77. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 77 of the complaint.
- 78. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 78 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 77 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 79. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 79 of the complaint.
 - 80. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 80 of the complaint.
- 81. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 81 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 80 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 82. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 82 of the complaint.

- 83. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 83 of the complaint.
- 84. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 84 of the complaint.
- 85. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 85 of the complaint.
- 86. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 86 of the complaint.
- 87. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 87 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 86 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 88. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 88 of the complaint.
 - 89. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 89 of the complaint.
- 90. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph 90 of the complaint, defendant repeats and realleges the responses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 89 inclusive of its answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 91. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 91 of the complaint.
 - 92. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 92 of the complaint.
 - 93. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 93 of the complaint.
 - 94. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 94 of the complaint.
 - Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 95 of the complaint. 95.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

96. The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

97. The City has not violated any rights, privileges or immunities under the Constitution or laws of the United States or the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof, or any act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights.

Page 9 of 10

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

98. To the extent the complaint alleges any claims against the City arising under state law, such claims are barred by the doctrine of immunity for judgmental errors in the exercise of governmental functions.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

99. Punitive damages are not available against the City of New York.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

100. Any injury alleged to have been sustained resulted from plaintiffs' own culpable or negligent conduct, or from that of others, and was not the proximate result of any act of the defendants.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

101. The entry into plaintiffs' home was justified by exigent circumstances.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

There was probable cause for plaintiffs' arrest, prosecution and detention. 102.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs provoked any use of force by resisting arrest and/or failing to 103. obey lawfully issued orders.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any force used to arrest plaintiffs was necessary and reasonable under the 104. circumstances.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This action is barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of res judicata 105. and/or collateral estoppel.

AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

106. Plaintiffs have failed to comply with Section 50 of the Municipal Law.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

107. This action is barred in whole or in part by the applicable limitations period.

WHEREFORE, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK requests judgment dismissing the complaint in its entirety, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York January 21, 2008

> MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and POLICE COMMISIONER RAYMOND KELLY 100 Church Street, Room 3-159 New York, New York 10007 (212) 788-8698

By:			
	Steve Stavridis		

(By ECF)

TO: Brett H. Klein, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
45 Main Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 722-4100